Management Strategy of Environmental Infection Prevention and Control in the Context of a Pandemic: A Bundled Approach

William A. Rutala, Ph.D., M.P.H., C.I.C.

Director, Statewide Program for Infection Control and Epidemiology and Research Professor of Medicine, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, NC, USA

Former Director, Hospital Epidemiology, Occupational Health and Safety, UNC Health Care, Chapel Hill, NC (1979-2017)



- Consultations
 - Professional Disposables International (PDI)
- Honoraria
 - PDI

www.disinfectionandsterilization.org

Disinfection of Noncritical Surfaces Bundle NL Havill AJIC 2013;41:S26-30; Rutala, Weber AJIC 2019;47:A96-A105

- Develop policies and procedures
- Select cleaning and disinfecting products
- Educate staff to environmental services and nursing
- Monitor compliance (thoroughness of cleaning, product use) and feedback
- Implement "no touch" room decontamination technology and monitor compliance

Environmental Contamination Leads to HAIs

Weber, Kanamori, Rutala. Curr Op Infect Dis 2016:29:424-431



- Evidence environment contributes
 Role-MRSA, VRE, *C. difficile*
- Surfaces are contaminated-~25%
- EIP survive days, weeks, months
- Contact with surfaces results in hand contamination
- Disinfection reduces contamination
- Disinfection (daily) reduces HAIs
- Rooms not adequately cleaned

Admission to Room Previously Occupied by Patient C/I with Epidemiologically Important Pathogen Weber, Kanamori, Rutala. Curr Op Infect Dis 2016:29:424-431



- Results in the newly admitted patient having an increased risk of acquiring that pathogen by 39-353%
- For example, increased risk • for *C. difficile* is 235% (11.0%) vs 4.6%)

Role of Healthcare Surface Environment in SARS-CoV-2 Transmission

Kanamori, Weber, Rutala, Clin Infect Dis, https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciaa1467, 28 September 2020

<u>Centers for Disease Control & Prevention</u> says the virus spreads from person to person mainly through respiratory droplets from coughing, sneezing or talking in close proximity to each other, but the CDC has also said it may be possible for a person to get COVID-19 by touching a surface or object that has the virus on it and then touching their own mouth, nose or possibly their eyes. CDC clarified while it is still possible that a person can catch it from touching a contaminated surface, it's "not thought to be the main way the virus spreads."

COVID:19: Overview of Contamination of the Healthcare Environment and Effective Surface Disinfection Technologies

Kanamori, Weber, Rutala, Clin Infect Dis, <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciaa1467</u>, 28 September 2020

- SARS-CoV-2 RNA contamination rate 0-75% (median 12.1%)
- SARS-CoV-2 can be viable on surfaces for 3 days (plastic, stainless steel ~2-3 days, cardboard ~24h)
- Provide education/training for cleaning/disinfection staff on proper PPE
- Use EPA-registered disinfectant on List N (emerging viral pathogen claim)
- All noncritical touchable surfaces and medical devices cleaning/disinfection daily
- Assess cleaning/disinfection thoroughness with a validation method
- Comply with manufacturer's contact time for disinfectants
- Consider "no touch" methods as adjunct to cleaning/disinfection for terminal disinfection

Disinfection of Noncritical Surfaces Bundle NL Havill AJIC 2013;41:S26-30; Rutala Weber AJIC 2019;47:A96-A105

- Develop policies and procedures
- Select cleaning and disinfecting products
- Educate staff to environmental services and nursing
- Monitor compliance (thoroughness of cleaning, product use) and feedback
- Implement "no touch" room decontamination technology and monitor compliance

Disinfection of Noncritical Surfaces Bundle

NL Havill AJIC 2013;41:S26-30; Rutala Weber AJIC 2019;47:A96-A105

Develop policies and procedures

- Environmental cleaning and disinfection is an integral part of preventing transmission of pathogens
- In addition to identifying products and procedures, ensure standardization of cleaning throughout the hospital
 - Some units utilize ES to clean pieces of equipment (e.g., vital sign machines, IV pumps); some units use patient equipment, and some units utilize nursing staff.
 - Multidisciplinary group to create a standardized plan for cleaning patient rooms and pieces of patient equipment throughout the hospital

REVIEW THE "BEST" PRACTICES FOR CLEANING AND DISINFECTING

Cleaning and disinfecting (C/D) is one-step with disinfectant-detergent. No pre-cleaning necessary unless spill or gross contamination. In many cases "best" practices not scientifically determined.

Blood Pressure Cuff Non-Critical Patient Care Item





Surface Disinfection Noncritical Patient Care Rutala, Weber, HICPAC. CDC 2008. <u>www.cdc.gov</u>

- Disinfecting Noncritical Patient-Care Items
 - Process noncritical patient-care equipment with a EPAregistered disinfectant at the proper use dilution and a contact time of at least 1 min. Category IB

Ensure that the frequency for disinfecting noncritical patientcare surfaces be done minimally when visibly soiled and on a regular basis (such as after each patient use or once daily or once weekly). Category IB



Surface Disinfection Environmental Surfaces Rutala, Weber, HICPAC. CDC 2008. <u>www.cdc.gov</u>

- Disinfecting Environmental Surfaces in HCF
 - Disinfect (or clean) housekeeping surfaces (e.g., floors, tabletops) on a regular basis (e.g., daily, three times per week), when spills occur, and when these surfaces are visibly soiled. Category IB
 - Use disinfectant for housekeeping purposes where: uncertainty exists as to the nature of the soil on the surfaces (blood vs dirt); or where uncertainty exists regarding the presence of multi-drug resistant organisms on such surfaces. Category II

Alfa et al. AJIC 2015;43:141-146



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

American Journal of Infection Control

journal homepage: www.ajicjournal.org



Major article

Use of a daily disinfectant cleaner instead of a daily cleaner reduced hospital-acquired infection rates

Michelle J. Alfa PhD^{a,b,*}, Evelyn Lo MD^{b,c}, Nancy Olson BSc^a, Michelle MacRae^c, Louise Buelow-Smith RN^c

^aSt Boniface Research Centre, Winnipeg, MB, Canada ^bDepartment of Medical Microbiology, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, MB, Canada ^cSt Boniface Hospital, Winnipeg, MB, Canada

Key Words:

Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus Vancomycin-resistant enterococci Clostridium difficile Housekeeping Environmental cleaning **Background:** Documenting effective approaches to eliminate environmental reservoirs and reduce the spread of hospital-acquired infections (HAIs) has been difficult. This was a prospective study to determine if hospital-wide implementation of a disinfectant cleaner in a disposable wipe system to replace a cleaner alone could reduce HAIs over 1 year when housekeeping compliance was \geq 80%.

Methods: In this interrupted time series study, a ready-to-use accelerated hydrogen peroxide disinfectant cleaner in a disposable wipe container system (DCW) was used once per day for all high-touch surfaces in patient care rooms (including isolation rooms) to replace a cleaner only. The HAI rates for methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA), vancomycin-resistant enterococci (VRE), and *Clostridium difficile* were stratified by housekeeping cleaning compliance (assessed using ultraviolet-visible marker monitoring).

Results: When cleaning compliance was \geq 80%, there was a significant reduction in cases/10,000 patient days for MRSA (*P* = .0071), VRE (*P* < .0001), and *C difficile* (*P* = .0005). For any cleaning compliance level there was still a significant reduction in the cases/10,000 patient days for VRE (*P* = .0358).

Conclusion: Our study data showed that daily use of the DCW applied to patient care high-touch environmental surfaces with a minimum of 80% cleaning compliance was superior to a cleaner alone because it resulted in significantly reduced rates of HAIs caused by *C difficile*, MRSA, and VRE. Copyright © 2014 by the Association for Professionals in Infection Control and Epidemiology. Inc.

Use of a Daily Disinfectant Cleaner Instead of a Daily Cleaner Reduced HAI Rates

Alfa et al. AJIC 2015.43:141-146

- Method: Improved hydrogen peroxide disposable wipe was used once per day for all high-touch surfaces to replace cleaner
- Result: When cleaning compliance was ≥ 80%, there was a significant reduction in cases/10,000 patient days for MRSA, VRE and *C. difficile*
- Conclusion: Daily use of disinfectant applied to environmental surfaces with an 80% compliance was superior to a cleaner because it resulted in significantly reduced rates of HAIs caused by *C. difficile*, MRSA, VRE

It appears that not only is disinfectant use important but how often is important

Daily disinfection vs clean when soiled

Daily Disinfection of High-Touch Surfaces Kundrapu et al. ICHE 2012;33:1039

Daily disinfection of high-touch surfaces (vs cleaned when soiled) with sporicidal disinfectant (PA) in rooms of patients with CDI and MRSA reduced acquisition of pathogens on hands after contact with surfaces and of hands caring for the patient. Daily disinfection less hand

contamination.



FIGURE 1. Effect of daily disinfection of high-touch environmental surfaces on acquisition of *Clostridium difficile* and methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) on gloved hands of investigators after contact with the surfaces. *A*, Percentage of positive *C*, *difficile* cultures; *B*, mean number of *C*. *difficile* colony-forming units acquired; *C*, percentage of positive MRSA cultures; *D*, mean number of MRSA colony-forming units acquired.

EVIDENCE THAT ALL TOUCHABLE ROOM SURFACES ARE EQUALLY CONTAMINATED

TABLE 1. Precleaning and Postcleaning Bacterial Load Measurements for High-, Medium-, and Low-Touch Surfaces

Mean CFUs/RODAC (95% CI)

| Surface (no. of samples) | Precleaning | Postcleaning |
|--------------------------|------------------|----------------|
| High (n = 40) | 71.9 (46.5–97.3) | 9.6 (3.8–15.4) |
| Medium $(n = 42)$ | 44.2 (28.1-60.2) | 9.3 (1.2–17.5) |
| Low $(n = 37)$ | 56.7 (34.2–79.2) | 5.7 (2.01–9.4) |

Huslage K, Rutala W, Gergen M, Sickbert-Bennett S, Weber D ICHE 2013;34:211-2

NOTE. CFU, colony-forming unit; CI, confidence interval.

| Ward | Culture sites ^a | | | | | | | |
|-------|----------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | HCWs' hands | Surfaces distant from patients | Surfaces close to patients | Prevalence of contamination | | | | |
| Α | 3/10 (30%) | 0/22 (0%) | 6/25 (24.0%) | 9/57 (15.8%) | | | | |
| В | 2/9 (22.2%) | 4/19 (21.1%) | 5/48 (10.4%) | 11/76 (14.5%) | | | | |
| С | 2/10 (20%) | 2/26 (7.7%) | 7/49 (14.3%) | 11/85 (12.9%) | | | | |
| D | 1/9 (11.1%) | 2/24 (18.2%) | 7/45 (15.6%) | 10/78 (12.8%) | | | | |
| E | 0/5 (0%) | 4/22 (18.2%) | 3/30 (10%) | 7/57 (12.3%) | | | | |
| F | 1/10 (10%) | 0/11 (0%) | 4/31 (12.9%) | 5/52 (9.6%) | | | | |
| G | 0/3 (0%) | 2/14 (14.3%) | 0/20 (0%) | 2/37 (5.4%) | | | | |
| Н | 1/10 (10%) | 0/16 (0%) | 1/55 (1.8%) | 2/81 (2.5%) | | | | |
| Total | 10/66 (15.2%) | 14/154 (9.1%) | 33/303 (10.9%) | 57/523 (10.9%) | | | | |

Willi I, Mayre A, Kreidl P, et al. JHI 2018;98:90-95

HCW, healthcare worker.

^a Number of contaminated samples/number of samples obtained.

ALL "TOUCHABLE" (HAND CONTACT) SURFACES SHOULD BE WIPED WITH DISINFECTANT

"High touch" objects only recently defined (no significant differences in microbial contamination of different surfaces) and "high risk" objects not epidemiologically defined. Cleaning and disinfecting is one-step with disinfectant-detergent. No pre-cleaning necessary unless spill or gross contamination.

Disinfection of Noncritical Surfaces Bundle

Develop policies and procedures

- Standardize C/D patient rooms and pieces of equipment throughout the hospital
- All touchable hand contact surfaces wiped with disinfection daily, when spills occur and when the surfaces are visibly soiled.
- All noncritical medical devices should be disinfected daily and when soiled
- Clean and disinfectant sink and toilet
- Damp mop floor with disinfectant-detergent
- If disinfectant prepared on-site, document correct concentration
- Address treatment time/contact time for wipes and liquid disinfectants (e.g., treatment time for QUAT wipes is the kill time and includes a wet time via wiping as well as the undisturbed time).

Disinfection of Noncritical Surfaces Bundle NL Havill AJIC 2013;41:S26-30

- Develop policies and procedures
- Select cleaning and disinfecting products
- Educate staff to environmental services and nursing
- Monitor compliance (thoroughness of cleaning, product use) and feedback
- Implement "no touch" room decontamination technology and monitor compliance

Effective Surface Decontamination

Product and **Practice** = **Perfection**

Microbiological Disinfectant Hierarchy

Rutala WA, Weber DJ, HICPAC. www.cdc.gov



LOW-LEVEL DISINFECTION FOR NONCRITICAL EQUIPMENT AND SURFACES

Rutala, Weber. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol. 2014;35:855-865

| Exposure time <u>></u> 1 r | time <u>></u> 1 min | |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| Germicide | Use Concentration | |
| Ethyl or isopropyl alcohol | 70-90% | |
| Chlorine | 100ppm (1:500 dilution) | |
| Phenolic | UD | |
| lodophor | UD | |
| Quaternary ammonium (QUAT) | UD | |
| QUAT with alcohol | RTU | |
| Improved hydrogen peroxide (HP) | 0.5%, 1.4% | |
| Peracetic acid with HP (C. difficile) | UD | |

UD=Manufacturer's recommended use dilution; others in development/testing-electrolyzed water; polymeric guanidine; cold-air atmospheric pressure plasma (Boyce Antimicrob Res IC 2016. 5:10)

Role of Healthcare Surface Environment in SARS-CoV-2 Transmission

Kanamori, Weber, Rutala, Clin Infect Dis, September 2020

- CDC recommends that an EPA-registered disinfectant on the EPA's List N that has qualified under the emerging pathogen program for use against SARS-CoV-2 be chosen for the COVID-19 patient care.
- List N has >500 entries and 32 different active ingredients

List N Tool: COVID-19 Disinfectants 32 Active Ingredients

- Ethyl alcohol
- Hydrogen peroxide
- Hypochlorite
- Isopropyl alcohol
- Peracetic acid
- Phenolic
- Quaternary ammonium

EFFECTIVENESS OF DISINFECTANTS AGAINST MRSA AND VRE

Rutala WA, et al. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2000;21:33-38

TABLE 2

DISINFECTANT ACTIVITY AGAINST ANTIBIOTIC-SUSCEPTIBLE AND ANTIBIOTIC-RESISTANT BACTERIA

| | Log ₁₀ Reductions | | | | | | | |
|---------------------|------------------------------|-------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|---|
| Product | VSE | | VRE | | MS | SA | MR | 5A 5 min >4.6 >4.6 >4.6 >4.6 >4.6 |
| | 0.5 min | 5 min | 0.5 min | 5 min | 0.5 min | 5 min | 0.5 min | 5 min |
| Vesphene IIse | >4.3 | >4.3 | >4.8 | >4.8 | >5.1 | >5.1 | >4.6 | >4.6 |
| Clorox | >5.4 | >5.4 | >4.9 | >4.9 | >5.0 | >5.0 | >4.6 | >4.6 |
| Lysol Disinfectant | >4.3 | >4.3 | >4.8 | >4.8 | >5.1 | >5.1 | >4.6 | >4.6 |
| Lysol Antibacterial | >5.5 | >5.5 | >5.5 | >5.5 | >5.1 | >5.1 | >4.6 | >4.6 |
| Vinegar | 0.1 | 5.3 | 1.0 | 3.7 | +1.1 | +0.9 | +0.6 | 2.3 |

Abbreviations: MRSA, methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus; MSSA, methicillin-susceptible S aureus; VRE, vancomycin-resistant Enterococcus; VSE, vancomycin-susceptible Enterococcus. Data represent mean of two trials (n=2). Values preceded by ">" represent the limit of detection of the assay. Assays were conducted at a temperature of 20°C and a relative humidity of 45%. Results were calculated as the log of Nd/No, where Nd is the titer of bacteria surviving after exposure and No is the titer of the control.

Disinfection of Noncritical Surfaces Bundle NL Havill AJIC 2013;41:S26-30

- Develop policies and procedures
- Select cleaning and disinfecting products
- Educate staff to environmental services and nursing
- Monitor compliance (thoroughness of cleaning, product use) and feedback
- Implement "no touch" room decontamination technology and monitor compliance

Disinfection of Noncritical Surfaces Bundle

- Develop policies and procedures
 - Standardize C/D patient rooms and pieces of equipment throughout the hospital
 - All touchable hand contact surfaces wiped with disinfection daily, when spills occur and when the surfaces are visibly soiled.
 - All noncritical medical devices should be disinfected daily and when soiled
 - Clean and disinfectant sink and toilet
 - Damp mop floor with disinfectant-detergent
 - If disinfectant prepared on-site, document correct concentration
 - Address treatment time/contact time for wipes and liquid disinfectants (e.g., treatment time for QUAT wipes is the kill time and includes a wet time via wiping as well as the undisturbed time).

Disinfection of Noncritical Surfaces Bundle

Develop policies and procedures

- Environmental cleaning and disinfection is an integral part of preventing transmission of pathogens
- In addition to identifying products and procedures, ensure standardization of cleaning throughout the hospital
 - Some units utilize ES to clean pieces of equipment (e.g., vital sign machines, IV pumps); some units use patient equipment, and some units utilize nursing staff.
 - Multidisciplinary group to create a standardized plan for cleaning patient rooms and pieces of patient equipment throughout the hospital

Disinfection of Noncritical Surfaces Bundle NL Havill AJIC 2013;41:S26-30

- Develop policies and procedures
- Select cleaning and disinfecting products
- Educate staff to environmental services and nursing
- Monitor compliance (thoroughness of cleaning, product use) and feedback
- Implement "no touch" room decontamination technology and monitor compliance

Effective Surface Decontamination

Product and Practice = Perfection

Thoroughness of Environmental Cleaning Carling et al. ECCMID, Milan, Italy, May 2011



Practice* NOT Product

*surfaces not wiped

MONITORING THE EFFECTIVENESS OF CLEANING

Cooper et al. AJIC 2007;35:338

- Visual assessment-not a reliable indicator of surface cleanliness
- ATP bioluminescence-measures organic debris (each unit has own reading scale, <250-500 RLU)
- Microbiological methods-<2.5CFUs/cm²-pass; can be costly and pathogen specific
- Fluorescent marker-transparent, easily cleaned, environmentally stable marking solution that fluoresces when exposed to an ultraviolet light (applied by IP unbeknown to EVS, after EVS cleaning, markings are reassessed)

Thoroughness of Environmental Cleaning Carling and Herwaldt. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2017;38:960–965

Hospitals can improve their thoroughness of terminal room disinfection through fluorescent monitoring and feedback/retraining of environmental services staff



FIGURE 4. A comparison of the results of the 3 previously published multisite studies compared with results from the Iowa project. White bars represent the average baseline TDCs and black bars represent the average final TDCs for sites that completed each study.

These interventions (effective surface disinfection, thoroughness indicators) not enough to achieve consistent and high rates of cleaning/disinfection

No Touch

(supplements but do not replace surface cleaning/disinfection)

Disinfection of Noncritical Surfaces Bundle NL Havill AJIC 2013;41:S26-30

- Develop policies and procedures
- Select cleaning and disinfecting products
- Educate staff to environmental services and nursing
- Monitor compliance (thoroughness of cleaning, product use) and feedback
- Implement "no touch" room decontamination technology and monitor compliance

"NO TOUCH" APPROACHES TO ROOM DECONTAMINATION

(UV/VHP~20 microbicidal studies, 12 HAI reduction studies; will not discuss technology with limited data) Weber, Kanamori, Rutala. Curr Op Infect Dis 2016;29:424-431; Weber, Rutala et al. AJIC; 2016:44: e77-e84; Anderson et al. Lancet 2017;389:805-14; Anderson et al. Lancet Infect Dis 2018;June 2018.

Enhanced Disinfection Leading to Reduction of Microbial Contamination and a Decrease in Patient Col/Infection

Anderson et al. Lancet 2017;289:805; Rutala et al. ICHE In press.

| | Standard Method | Enhanced method | | |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|-----------|
| | Quat | Quat/UV | Bleach | Bleach/UV |
| EIP (mean CFU per room) ^a | 60.8 | 3.4 | 11.7 | 6.3 |
| Reduction (%) | | 94 | 81 | 90 |
| Colonization/Infection (rate)ª | 2.3 | 1.5 | 1.9 | 2.2 |
| Reduction (%) | | 35 | 17 | 4 |

All enhanced disinfection technologies were significantly superior to Quat alone in reducing EIPs. Comparing the best strategy with the worst strategy (i.e., Quat vs Quat/UV) revealed that a reduction of 94% in EIP (60.8 vs 3.4) led to a 35% decrease in colonization/infection (2.3% vs 1.5%). Our data demonstrated that a decrease in room contamination was associated with a decrease in patient colonization/infection. First study which quantitatively described the entire pathway whereby improved disinfection decreases microbial contamination which in-turn reduced patient colonization/infection. This technology ("no touch"-e.g., UV/HP) should be used (capital equipment budget) for terminal room disinfection (e.g., after discharge of patients on Contact Precautions).

Disinfection of Noncritical Surfaces Bundle NL Havill AJIC 2013;41:S26-30

- Develop policies and procedures
- Select cleaning and disinfecting products
- Educate staff to environmental services and nursing
- Monitor compliance (thoroughness of cleaning, product use) and feedback
- Implement "no touch" room decontamination technology and monitor compliance

How Will We Prevent Infections Associated with the Environment?

Implement evidence-based practices for surface disinfection

- Evidence-based policies
- Ensure use of safe and effective (against emerging pathogens such as *C. auris*, SARS-CoV-2 and CRE) low-level disinfectants
- Ensure thoroughness of cleaning (new thoroughness technology)
- Use "no touch" room decontamination technology proven to reduce microbial contamination on surfaces and reduction of HAIs at terminal/discharge cleaning

THANK YOU! www.disinfectionandsterilization.org

